

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

KENNETH I DEANE,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACIFIC FINANCIAL GROUP INC,  
ET AL.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C19-722 MJP

ORDER ON MOTIONS IN LIMINE  
AND MOTION TO SEAL

This matter comes before the Court on the following:

1. Defendants' Motion in Limine Re: Future Damages (Dkt. No. 86);
2. Defendants' Motions in Limine (Dkt. No. 97);
3. Defendants' Motion in Limine Filed Under Seal (Dkt. No. 101);
4. Defendants' Motion to Seal the Motion in Limine and Supporting Materials (Dkt. No. 99);
5. Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motion in Limine Re: Future Damages (Dkt. No. 95); and


6. Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Motions in Limine and Motion in Limine  
Filed Under Seal (Dkt. No. 105).

Having reviewed the above filings and all supporting materials, and having held a pretrial  
conference with counsel for the Parties during which the above Motions were discussed, the  
Court rules as follows:

1. The Court DENIES Defendants' Motions in Limine Re: Future Damages (Dkt. No.  
86);
2. The Court GRANTS in part and DENIES in part Defendants' Motions in Limine  
(Dkt. Nos. 97), as follows:
  - a. The Court DENIES Defendants' Motions in Limine 2, 3, 4, 8, and 9; and
  - b. The Court GRANTS Defendants' Motions in Limine 1, 5, 6, and 7;
3. The Court GRANTS Defendants' Motion in Limine Filed Under Seal (Dkt. No.  
101)—no information or reference to a criminal conviction may be used at trial;
4. The Court finds good cause to keep the matters filed in Dkt. Nos. 101 and 102 under  
seal and GRANTS Defendants' Motion to Seal (Dkt. No. 99). See Kamakana v. City  
of County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006). The records in Dkt.  
Nos. 101 and 102 shall remain sealed.

The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.

Dated October 21, 2020.



Marsha J. Pechman  
United States District Judge